

From: Daniel Hirsch [mailto:dohirsch@ucsc.edu]

**Sent:** Friday, February 26, 2016 2:18 PM **To:** LEE, LILY < LEE.LILY @EPA.GOV>

Cc: bradley@greenaction.org; Marie Harrison <marieH@greenaction.org>; Janice Davis

<jadadavi@ucsc.edu>; Lucien Martin <lumamart@ucsc.edu>; Maria Caine

<mcaine@ucsc.edu>; Janie Flores <jalflore@ucsc.edu>; Liora Huebner <lhuebner@ucsc.edu>

Subject: Re: Which documents? RE: Survey for times for Hunters Pt technical mtg

w/Greenaction

Hi Lily,

I must confess to be getting more and more perplexed by this situation. For two months we've been trying to obtain information on pretty elementary matters about the Hunters Point Bayview (HPBV) cleanup, particularly about how standards for cleanup and waste disposal have been adopted, models chosen, and risk assessment conclusions reached. Two of my students drove to San Francisco to attend a public meeting with agency officials, but could get no answers. We've tried ever since to arrange a conference call with experts from EPA, DTSC, and other agencies to try to get these matters clarified, so far to no avail.

You indicate you are new to the project and fairly unfamiliar with it. But surely you must have technical members of your team involved in the EPA oversight of the cleanup and review and approval of key documents.

They are about fairly fundamental issues, which EPA has approved and which are repeated in current cleanup proposals that EPA presumably is also evaluating.

The Navy sent us one document, similar to others we have been reviewing, including a table of the cleanup standards being employed and their sources. You received a copy as well. Those standards appear to be essentially the same as found in current proposals. Because this is an NPL site, EPA has to review and sign off on these standards and models as consistent with EPA CERCLA guidance. We want to ask questions about how that determination was made.

I enclose a compilation of key pages of a few other documents that we had prepared for the conference call. Again, surely EPA has reviewed these, and is reviewing similar sections in pending documents. We would appreciate being able to ask your subject matter experts who have been involved in this review some fundamental questions. Similarly, DTSC has been reviewing and OK'ing HPBV documents, and we want to be able to ask questions of their subject matter experts.

Please understand, if w	e are having trouble	getting anyone	in your a	agencies to	answer
fundamental questions	about HPBV, imagin	ne how the imp	acted con	nmunity m	ust feel.

Please let me know when we can have that call.

Sincerely,

Daniel Hirsch